

Is superluminal motion in relativistic Bohm's theory observable?

ALI SHOJAI^a, MEHDI GOLSHANI^b

^aInstitute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, P.O.Box 19395-5531, Tehran, IRAN
and Department of Physics, Tarbiat Modarres University, P.O.Box 14155-4838, Tehran, IRAN
^bDepartment of Physics, Sharif University of Technology, P.O.Box 11365-9161 Tehran, IRAN
and Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, P.O.Box 19395-5531, Tehran, IRAN

1 Introduction

In extending Bohm's causal version of quantum mechanics to the relativistic domain, one faces with a serious difficulty. For bosonic wave functions, both space-like four-momenta as well as time-like ones are possible. This shows that if one accepts the causal, particle interpretation of bosonic wave functions, then, one must deal with particles moving sometimes faster than light. This objection has led many authors to argue that a particle interpretation of bosonic fields is not possible. They are fields rather than particles – in contrast to the fermionic case in which a causal, particle interpretation is achievable.

This does not seem to us a reasonable argument. First, because it can be shown that even for bosonic fields it is possible to construct a time-like current four-vector.[1] Second, we show in this letter that at macroscopic separations (i.e. separations larger than the de-Broglie wavelength of the particle) the velocity of the particle is less than that of the light – at least under some conditions. Bohm has already mentioned this possibility[2]:

.... it is necessary to assume a basically non-Lorentz invariant theory for the individual particles. Nevertheless this theory becomes Lorentz invariant where nonlocal connections can be neglected. From this we conclude that the manifest level of ordinary large scale experience will be covariant in its behaviour. the statistical laws of the quantum theory are covariant. We emphasize again that there is Lorentz invariance in all of the domains of particle theory that have thus far been investigated experimentally, but these do not necessarily invalidate our assumptions concerning the underlying level in which the order of succession is unique.

In this paper we shall demonstrate this fact for bosons.

2 Superluminal motion in the bosonic fields

First, we briefly review what happens in making a causal, particle interpretation of the Klein-Gordon equation. It can be easily shown that the Klein-

Gordon equation

$$(\square + m^2)\Phi = 0 \tag{1}$$

is mathematically equivalent to the continuity equation

$$\partial_\mu(R^2\partial^\mu S) = 0 \tag{2}$$

and the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\partial_\mu S\partial^\mu S = M^2 \tag{3}$$

where

$$M^2 = m^2 + \frac{\square R}{R} \tag{4}$$

$$R = \sqrt{\Phi\Phi^*} \tag{5}$$

$$S = -\frac{i}{2}\ln\left(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi^*}\right) \tag{6}$$

The four-momentum of the particle may be identified as $-\partial_\mu S$ and the mass of the particle as M . Since M^2 is not positive definite, one may encounter with space-like four-momenta. To show such a possibility, we consider the following solution of the 1 + 1 dimensional Klein-Gordon equation[3]

$$\Phi = N[\exp(-imt) + A\exp(-i\omega t + ikx)] \tag{7}$$

where

$$\omega^2 - k^2 = m^2 \tag{8}$$

and A is a real constant, and N is the normalization factor. The energy and momentum of a particle guided by this wave function is

$$E = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{R^2} \left\{ m + A^2\omega + A(m + \omega) \cos[(m - \omega)t - kx] \right\} \tag{9}$$

$$P = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{R^2} Ak \{ A + \cos[(m - \omega)t - kx] \} \tag{10}$$

The four-momentum is in many cases space-like, e.g. for $A = 2/\omega$, $\omega > 2$ and $\cos[(m - \omega)t - kx] = -1$. The velocity of the particle is

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{E}{P} = Ak \frac{A + \cos[(m - \omega)t - kx]}{m + A^2\omega + A(m + \omega) \cos[(m - \omega)t - kx]} \tag{11}$$

Defining

$$\eta = (m - \omega)t - kx \quad (12)$$

one has

$$\frac{d\eta}{dt} = \frac{m^2 - A^2 - (2\omega^2 - m^2 - m\omega) - m\omega}{m + A^2\omega + A(m + \omega)\cos\eta} \quad (13)$$

equation (11) clearly shows that the velocity may occasionally be larger than unity. This fact, is shown in figure for a typical trajectory dictated by (11). But we claim that on the average the velocity is less than one as can be seen in the figure and also by averaging the relation (12)

$$\left\langle \frac{d\eta}{dt} \right\rangle = 0 \quad (14)$$

So

$$\left\langle \frac{dx}{dt} \right\rangle = \left| \frac{m - \omega}{k} \right| = \sqrt{\frac{\omega - m}{\omega + m}} < 1 \quad (15)$$

Therefore on the average we can not see any faster than light motion for this particular solution. In the next section we shall prove this fact under some general conditions.

3 The average velocity of a particle in the causal, particle interpretation of the Klein-Gordon equation

Now, we consider the general solution of the Klein-Gordon equation

$$\Phi = \int d^3k f(\vec{k}) \exp(-i\omega t + i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \quad (16)$$

where

$$\omega^2 - |\vec{k}|^2 = m^2 \quad (17)$$

We show, by two methods, that the observed velocity is less than unity under suitable conditions.

(a)–From the relation (5) and (6) we have for the solution (15)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu S &= \frac{1}{2R^2} \int d^3k d^3k' f(\vec{k}) f^*(\vec{k}') \times \\ &\quad \exp[-i(\omega - \omega')t + i(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \cdot \vec{x}] \{k_\mu + k'_\mu\} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$R^2 = \int d^3k d^3k' f(\vec{k}) f^*(\vec{k}') \exp[-i(\omega - \omega')t + i(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \cdot \vec{x}] \quad (19)$$

Now suppose that the k-space wave function $f(\vec{k})$ is localized in the region $|\vec{k}| \leq \kappa$, and assume that we are at a distance x , larger than $1/\kappa$. The integrals in (17) and (18) then exist only when $\vec{k} \sim \vec{k}'$. Otherwise, the integrand oscillates rapidly and on the average leads to zero. Thus we have

$$\partial_\mu S \rightarrow \frac{1}{R^2} \int d^3k |f(\vec{k})|^2 k_\mu \quad (20)$$

$$R^2 \rightarrow \int d^3k |f(\vec{k})|^2 \quad (21)$$

The velocity is then

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial S / \partial x_i}{\partial S / \partial t} = \frac{\int d^3k |f(\vec{k})|^2 k_i}{\int d^3k |f(\vec{k})|^2 \omega} \quad (22)$$

Noting that the integrand in the denominator is always less than the absolute value of the integrand in the numerator, i.e.

$$|f(\vec{k})|^2 \omega > |f(\vec{k})|^2 |k_i| \quad (23)$$

we conclude that

$$\left| \frac{dx_i}{dt} \right| < 1 \quad (24)$$

(b)–Consider the case of 1+1 dimensional solution. The energy and momentum densities is defined for an ensemble of particles as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= -R^2 \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \int dk dk' f(k) f^*(k') \\ &\quad \exp[-i(\omega - \omega')t + i(k - k')x] \{\omega + \omega'\} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= R^2 \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2} \int dk dk' f(k) f^*(k') \\ &\quad \exp[-i(\omega - \omega')t + i(k - k')x] \{k + k'\} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The averages of \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{P} over a long time interval $(-T/2, T/2)$ are

$$\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle \rightarrow \frac{2\pi}{T} \int dk |f(k)|^2 \frac{\omega^2}{k} \quad (27)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle \rightarrow \frac{2\pi}{T} \int dk |f(k)|^2 \omega \quad (28)$$

If we define the average velocity as the ratio of $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle$ then, we have

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle}{\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle} = \frac{\int dk |f(k)|^2 \omega}{\int dk |f(k)|^2 \frac{\omega^2}{k}} < 1 \quad (29)$$

The conclusion is that at least under the above conditions (i.e., localization of $f(\vec{k})$ and separations larger than the inverse of bandwidth of $f(\vec{k})$, or for long time intervals), the observed velocity of the particle is less than that of light.

References

- [1] Ghose, P. and Home, D., *Phys. Lett. A*, **191**, No. 5,6, 362, (1994).
- [2] Bohm, D. and Hiley, B.J., *The Undivided Universe*, page 286, Routledge (1993).
- [3] Holland, P. R., *The Quantum Theory of Motion*, Cambridge University Press, London/New York, (1993).

(Manuscript reçu le 18 avril 1997)